



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,128	11/21/2001	Thomas L. Toth	gems8081.110	1209	
27061	7590 08/02/2004		EXAMINER		
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC (GEMS) 14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD			HO, ALLEN C		
MEQUON, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/683,128	TOTH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Allen C. Ho	2882			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess		
 If NO period for reply is specified abo Failure to reply within the set or extern 	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply we, the maximum statutory period w unded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing		oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comr NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to commi	unication(s) filed on 21 No	ovember 2001.				
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-19 and 3</u> ; 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-31</u> is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are so	n(s) is/are withdrav llowed. <u>2-37</u> is/are rejected. objected to.					
Application Papers						
·	n <u>21 November 2001</u> is/an est that any objection to the cheet(s) including the correct	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the capplication from	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents ertified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r	plication No eceived in this National St	age		
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTC)	-892)	4) ∏ Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Nererences Orice (1762) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 29042002	Orawing Review (PTO-948) t(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they

include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 122 (Fig. 4), 132

(Fig. 5), 166 (Fig. 7). Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the

reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid

abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of

the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header

(as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes

are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in

abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 12-37 are objected to because of the following informalities:

(1) Claims 12-19 recite a current profile. Claims 20-37 recite a tube current profile.

It is unclear to what current profiles these limitations refer. The applicants are

advised to replace them with --x-ray tube current profiles--.

(2) Clam 34 recites a diagnostic tube current. It is unclear whether this current is

same as the tube current recited in claim 32, or a different current.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 12, 13, 32, 33, and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toth (U. S. Patent No. 5,379,333).

With respect to claim 12, Toth disclosed a method of processing imaging data for a radiation emitting medical imaging device, comprising: acquiring imaging data of a subject (100); generating a set of projections (S₀, S₉₀) for an VOI having a plurality of sub-volumes; acquiring a target noise index (prescribed image noise, column 4, lines 39-41); generating an xray tube current profile (Eq. 1) according to the target noise index; and enabling interactive adjustment of the generated x-ray tube current profile to convey a minimum allowable dose for each sub-volume in the VOI (column 4, line 56 - column 5, line 10).

With respect to claim 13, Toth disclosed the method of claim 12, further comprising the step of varying an application of a patient dose for each rotation of an x-ray source within a subvolume in the VOI to limit x-ray exposure to sensitive organs of a patient (column 4, lines 63-68).

With respect to claim 32, Toth disclosed a radiation emitting medical device comprising: means (30) for receiving scan parameters (column 4, lines 12-14); means (26) for adjusting the

Art Unit: 2882

scan parameters automatically to generate a desired target image quality for a patient (column 4, lines 44-55); means (26) for modifying an x-ray tube current profile based on the adjusted scan parameters; and means (10) for scanning the patient using the modified x-ray tube current profile to reconstruct an image of the patient.

With respect to claim 33, Toth disclosed the medical device of claim 32, wherein the target image quality is determined by a target image noise index (column 1, lines 42-63).

With respect to claims 35-37, Toth disclosed the medical device of claim 32, wherein the means for modifying an x-ray tube current profile includes one of graphical adjustment and direct entry adjustment, a means for modifying only a portion of the x-ray tube current, and a means for modifying the x-ray tube current in sensitive organ regions for each gantry rotation (column 4, lines 56-68).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 14-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toth (U. S. Patent No. 5,379,333) as applied to claim 12 above.

With respect to claims 14 and 19, Toth disclosed the method of claim 12, further comprising the step of generating an effective x-ray tube current profile based on the varied patient dose for each gantry rotation (column 1, lines 42-63). However, although Toth taught

Art Unit: 2882

displaying data on a graphical user interface (column 4, lines 14-16), Toth failed to teach plotting

the x-ray tube current profile on the graphical user interface.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to display the x-ray tube current profile, since a person would be motivated

to visually check the x-ray tube current profile before imaging a patient.

With respect to claim 15, Toth disclosed the method of claim 14, wherein user

modulation of a portion of the plotted x-ray tube current profile on the graphical user interface

(column 4, lines 56-62) causes the noise index to vary for the portion of the current profile

modulated (This is inherent, since the noise is related to the x-ray tube current. (See Column 1,

lines 42-63).

With respect to claims 16 and 17, Toth disclosed the method of claim 12, further

comprising the step of adjusting at least one of a noise index and a relative dose index to acquire

the image data of the subject (adjusting the x-ray tube current or dose is equivalent to adjusting

the noise index. See column 1, lines 42-63).

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toth (U. S.

Patent No. 5,379,333) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Lavin et al. (U. S.

Patent No. 5,772,585).

With respect to claim 18, Toth disclosed the method of claim 12, wherein the step of

generating a set of projections includes the step of receiving a patient input (column 4, lines 12-

14). However, Toth failed to teach accessing a patient demographic database.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2882

Lavin et al. disclosed a demographic database for managing patient medical information. The database contains, among other things, physician's diagnosis. In addition, this database is accessible over a network.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set up a networked demographic database for managing patient medical information, since a person would be motivated to create a centralized database for storing and organizing patient medical information. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to access a patient's demographic information when generating a set of projections, since a person would be motivated to confirm a patient's identity and to generate a set of projections appropriate with respect to VOI based on a physician's diagnosis.

8. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toth (U. S. Patent No. 5,379,333) as applied to claim 32 above, and further in view of Lavin *et al.* (U. S. Patent No. 5,772,585).

With respect to claim 34, Toth disclosed the medical device of claim 32, wherein the target image quality is determined by an x-ray tube current (column 1, lines 42-63). However, Toth failed to teach that the image quality is determined by a demographic database.

Lavin *et al.* disclosed a demographic database for managing patient medical information. The database contains, among other things, physician's diagnosis. In addition, this database is accessible over a network.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set up a networked demographic database for managing patient medical

information, since a person would be motivated to create a centralized database for storing and

organizing patient medical information. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to a person of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the target image quality

based on a demographic database, since a person would be motivated to determine the target

image quality based on physician's diagnosis.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-11 are allowed over the prior art.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claims 1-11, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a method of

processing imaging data for a radiation emitting medical imaging device comprising the steps of

automatically generating a predicted noise index from the received set of scan parameter values

and generating an x-ray tube current profile based at least on the predicted noise index as

claimed.

With respect to claims 20-25, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a computed

tomography system comprising a computer programmed to receive a user input to generate a

target noise index, and generate an x-ray tube current profile according to the target noise index

and a predicted noise index as claimed.

With respect to claims 26-31, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a computer-

readable medium having stored thereon a computer program having a set of instructions that,

when executed by a computer, causes the computer to generate a predicted noise index from the

Art Unit: 2882

pre-scan data, and generate an x-ray tube current profile based upon the predicted noise index and a target noise index as claimed.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - (1) Popescu (U. S. Patent No. 6,507,639 B1) disclosed method and apparatus for modulating the radiation dose form x-ray tube.
 - (2) Ackelsberg *et al.* (U. S. Patent No. 6,285,741 B1) disclosed methods and apparatus for automatic image noise reduction.
 - (3) Schol (U. S. Patent No. 6,178,228 B1) disclosed an apparatus having a number of operating parameters that can be set by an interactive control.
 - (4) Wilting et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,094,468) disclosed a adjustable CT device.
 - (5) Popescu (U. S. Patent No. 5,822,393) disclosed method for adaptively modulating the power level of an x-ray tube of a CT system.
 - (6) Williams *et al.* (U. S. Patent No 5,485,494) disclosed modulation of x-ray tube current during CT scanning.
 - (7) Toth (U. S. Patent No. 5,400,378) disclosed dynamic dose control in multi-slice CT scan.

Art Unit: 2882

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 9

examiner should be directed to Allen C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-2491. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached at (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen C. Ho

Patent Examiner

allen C Ho

Art Unit 2882